

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS**

JAMES HODGKINS,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	CIVIL NO. 06-668-JLF
)	
MEARL J. JUSTUS, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

FOREMAN, District Judge:

Plaintiff, a prisoner proceeding *pro se*, has filed an Application to Proceed In Forma Pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 together with a civil action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. However, Plaintiff has not submitted a proper certified copy of his jail trust fund account statement for the six-month period immediately preceding the filing of the complaint as required by section 1915(a)(1). Plaintiff has included a jail statement that reflects only the deposits made into his account. The court cannot make the necessary calculations to assess an initial partial filing fee pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b) without a statement showing the balances in Plaintiff's account for the last six months.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff shall submit, within **THIRTY (30) DAYS** of the date of the entry of this order, a certified copy of his prison trust fund account statement for the six-month period immediately preceding the filing of the complaint *that includes the running balance on the account*. Plaintiff is **ADVISED** that in the event he has been transferred among institutions during this six-month period, it is Plaintiff's responsibility to obtain a copy of his prison trust account statement from each such facility and to forward it to the Court. Plaintiff is **FURTHER ADVISED** that his obligation to pay the filing fee for this action was incurred at the time the action

was filed; such an obligation will exist whether or not Plaintiff is granted leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1); *see also Lucien v. Jockisch*, 133 F.3d 464, 467 (7th Cir. 1998).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that upon conclusion of this thirty-day period, should Plaintiff fail to comply with this order, this case will be closed for failure to comply with an order of this Court. FED.R.CIV.P. 41(b); *see generally Ladien v. Astrachan*, 128 F.3d 1051 (7th Cir. 1997); *Johnson v. Kamminga*, 34 F.3d 466 (7th Cir. 1994).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: September 12, 2006.

s/ James L. Foreman

DISTRICT JUDGE